## **AMENDMENT**

## In the Claims:

Cancel claims 20 – 26.

Amend claims 1, 5, 10 and 14, without prejudice, as follows:

1. (Amended) A packaging material comprising:

a substrate comprising at least one sheet of plastic material;

a synthetic cold-seal cohesive coating on an inner side of the substrate; and an energy-cured coating on an outer side of the substrate, the coating comprising a

reacted-in slip agent.

5. (Amended) A packaging material according to claim 1, wherein the cold-seal cohesive coating comprises styrene butadiene or isoprene.

10. (Twice Amended) A package comprising:

at least one sheet of flexible packaging material comprising

a substrate comprising at least one sheet of plastic material,

a synthetic cold-seal cohesive coating on an inner side of the substrate, and an energy-cured coating comprising fixed slip agents on an outer side of the

substrate;

wherein said package has at least one seam formed by portions of said cold-seal cohesive coating cohering together.

14. (Amended) A package according to claim 10, wherein the cold-seal cohesive coating comprises styrene butadiene or isoprene.

## REMARKS

Claims 1 - 19 are pending in the application. This response is accompanied by the Declaration of Scott Huffer under 37 C.F.R. § 1.132 and supporting Exhibit "A", which constitute objective evidence of non-obviousness of the claims.

The second Office Action maintains the rejection of independent claims 1 and 10 under 35 USC § 103(a) and indicates that the rejection has been made final. For the reasons set forth in response to the first Office Action, Applicants continue to traverse the rejections, which are based at least in part on the combination of Zhang and Kurth. This response is being filed to traverse new grounds of rejection presented in the last Office Action.

1,2